

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,949	12/11/2003	Tieyu Zheng	884.G25US1	9235
21186	7590 02/14/2006	EXAMINER		
	AN, LUNDBERG, W	DANG, PHUC T		
1600 TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH EIGHT STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
WINNEAT OF	MININER ODIO, MIN 33702		DATE MAIL ED: 02/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/732,949	ZHENG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		PHUC T. DANG	2818		
 Period foi	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	filed on January 30, 2006.			
/ *	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5 and 7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application	on Papers				
10)⊠ T , , ,	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/as Applicant may not request that any objection to the GReplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecd drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants submission filed on January 30, 2006 has been entered.

In request for continued examination, Applicants added new claims 8-11.

Claims 1-11 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hadas (U.S. Patent No. 6,597,944 B1).

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claim 5, Hadas discloses a method of fabricating a display cell comprising:

placing a solder performs (43, 45 and 46) between a metal cover (47) and an insulating base (41); and

applying a current to the solder perform (43, 45 and 46) until the solder perform (43, 45 and 46) melts to seal a metal cover (47) to the insulating base (41) [Fig. 4 and col. 9, lines 64-66].

Regarding claim 7, Hadas discloses a step of creating a metallized surface on the insulating base, wherein placing the solder perform between the metal cover and the insulating base further comprises placing solder perform in contact with the metallized surface [col. 9, lines 31-38].

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4 and 8-11 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-4 and 8-11 are considered allowable since the prior art of record and the considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed invention having applying pressure between the metal cover and the insulating base and applying a current through the multiple conductive vias to heat the solder perform to melt as cited in claims 1 and 11.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/732,949

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

None of the prior art made of record does not disclose the current is applied through conductive vias running through the insulating base as cited in claim 6.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Sangshur

Phuc T. Dang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818